BEACON CRC Study Safety Lead-in: Assessment of the BRAF Inhibitor Encorafenib + MEK Inhibitor Binimetinib + Anti–Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Antibody Cetuximab for *BRAF*^{V600E} Metastatic Colorectal Cancer

Eric Van Cutsem University Hospitals Leuven, Belgium

Pieter-Jan Cuyle, Sanne Huijberts, Jan H.M. Schellens, Elena Elez, Rona Yaeger, Marwan Fakih, Clara Montagut, Marc Peeters, Jayesh Desai, Takayuki Yoshino, Fortunato Ciardiello, Harpreet Wasan, Kati Maharry, Janna Christy-Bittel, Ashwin Gollerkeri, Scott Kopetz, Axel Grothey, Josep Tabernero



BRAF^{V600E} mutation in mCRC

- Occurs in 10%–15% of patients and confers a poor prognosis^{1,2}
- Standard therapies have limited benefits after ≥1 line of treatment:
 - Median OS 4–6 mo, median PFS ~2 mo and ORR <10%^{1,3-5}
 - SWOG S1406 results with vemurafenib, irinotecan, cetuximab (VIC): Median OS of 9.6 mo, median PFS of 4.3 mo, and ORR in 16% (confirmed + unconfirmed)⁶
- BRAF inhibitors cause feedback activation of EGFR in *BRAF*-mutant CRC, leading to continued cell proliferation^{7,8}
 - Feedback may be overcome by targeting multiple nodes in the pathway
- Updated mature phase 2 results with doublet of ENCO + CETUX*: Median OS of 9.3 mo, median PFS of 4.2 mo and ORR in 24%⁹

MAPK Signaling in Colorectal Cancer¹⁰



* Data cut-off January 2018; last patient enrolled 14 April 2015. Full updated data to be presented at future meeting.

1. Loupakis F, et al. *Br J Cancer*. 2009;101:715. 2. Tie J, et al. *Int J Cancer*. 2011;128:2075. 3. De Roock W, et al. *Lancet Oncol*. 2010;11(8):753. 4. Mitani S, et al. *Ann Oncol*. 2017;28(5s). 5. Ulivi P, et al. *J Transl Med*. 2012;10:87. 6. Kopetz S, et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;35(15):3505. 7. Corcoran RB, et al. *Cancer Disc*. 2012;2(3):227. 8. Prahallad A, et al. *Nature* 2012;100:100. 9. Tabernero J, et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34:3544. 10. Adapted From: Strickler JH. *Cancer Treatment Reviews*. 2017; 60:109.



CETUX=cetuximab; EGFR=epidermal growth factor receptor; ENCO=encorafenib; MAPK=mitogen-activated protein kinase; mCRC=metastatic colorectal cancer; PFS=progression-free survival; ORR=objective response rate; OS=overall survival; VIC=vemurafenib + irinotecan + cetuximab.

Triple MAPK Pathway Inhibition in BRAF-mutant CRC



HT-29 BRAF^{V600E} colorectal xenografts²



2. Data on File, Array BioPharma Inc.

WORLD CONGRESS ON Gastrointestinal BINI=binimetinib.

COD SCIENCE

BETTER MEDICINE

Cancer

BEACON CRC Phase 3 Study Design¹



1. Clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02928224; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02928224 (February 2018).



Safety Lead-in to the BEACON CRC Phase 3 Trial

ELIGIBLE PATIENTS

- BRAF^{V600E}mutant mCRC
- Progressed after 1 or 2 previous regimens
- ECOG PS of 0 or 1
- No prior treatment with any RAFi, MEKi, or EGFRi
- Prior treatment with irinotecan allowed
- Eligible to receive CETUX per local label





Baseline Patient and Disease Characteristics

CHARACTERISTIC	PATIENTS (N=30)
BRAF ^{V600E} mutation*	29 (97%)
Male	13 (43%)
Age, median (range), year	59 (38–77)
ECOG PS 0	17 (57%)
Location of primary tumor	
Right side	18 (60%)
Left side	9 (30%)
No. of organs with metastases, >1	22 (73%)
Metastatic site locations	
Liver	20 (67%)
Lymph nodes	15 (50%)
Peritoneum	11 (37%)
Lung	9 (30%)
Colon/rectum	8 (27%)
Other	15 (50%)
No. of prior systemic therapies [†]	
1	17 (57%)
2	13 (43%)
Received prior irinotecan	13 (43%)
MSI-H [‡]	1 (3%)

*1 patient treated with a non-V600E *BRAF* mutation. [†]Includes prior systemic therapies in the metastatic setting only. [‡]Based on immunohistochemical assessment of MLH1 and MSH6 proteins successfully analyzed in 23 patients.



Patient Disposition



*One treated patient had a non-V600 *BRAF* mutation (*BRAF*^{G466V}).

[†]Includes 2 patients with changes in condition or development of an intercurrent illness.

[‡]Dose interruption >28 consecutive days.

§As of the data cutoff date of 3 May 2018.



Confirmed Best Overall Response

CONFIRMED BEST OVERALL RESPONSE*	PATIENTS (N=29) ⁺	
ORR (CR + PR)	14 (48%) (95% CI 29%–67%)	
CR	3 (10%)	
PR	11 (38%)	
SD	13 (45%)	
PD	0	
Not evaluable for response [‡]	2 (7%)	

- ORR for patients with 1 and 2 prior regimens were 62% and 31% respectively
- 43% of responders have response ≥6 months
- Median DOR: 5.5 mo (95% CI, 4.1–NR)

*Local assessed confirmed responses per RECIST 1.1 [†]Patients with *BRAF*^{V600E} mutations. [‡]Non-responders per intent-to-treat analysis.



Best Percentage Change in Tumor Measurements from Baseline



*Patients with lymph node disease with decreases in short axis dimensions consistent with RECIST 1.1 defined Complete Response. *One patient had no baseline sum of longest diameters and is not presented.



Best Percentage Change in Tumor Measurements from Baseline



*Patients with lymph node disease with decreases in short axis dimensions consistent with RECIST 1.1 defined Complete Response.

[†]One patient had no baseline sum of longest diameters and is not presented.

1. Kopetz S, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35:Abstr 3505, with permission.



Duration of Exposure by Number of Prior Regimens



Gastrointestinal

Cancel

BETTER MEDICINE

Progression-Free Survival



Progression-Free Survival by Number of Prior Regimens



Overall Survival



Overall Survival



COD SCORE COD SCORE CONFICT MECTOR COD SCORE CONFICT MECTOR CONFICT MECTOR

Overall Summary of Safety

	PATIENTS (N=30)	
AEs	30 (100%)	
Grade 3/4 AEs	21 (70%)	
AEs leading to discontinuation* ⁺	6 (20%)	
AEs leading to dose interruption/change ⁺	5 (17%)	
On-treatment deaths [‡]	5 (17%)	

*Includes increased blood bilirubin (1 patient), drug hypersensitivity (1 patient), dyspnea (1 patient), fatigue (1 patient), hypersensitivity (1 patient), malaise (1 patient), retinal detachment (1 patient).

[†]Discontinuation or dose interruption/change of at least one study drug

*Includes on-treatment deaths and deaths within 30 days of stopping study treatment. On-treatment deaths were due to disease progression.



Adverse Events* Regardless of Causality (N=30)

EVENT	ANY GRADE	GRADE 3/4
Diarrhea	23 (77%)	1 (3%)
Dermatitis acneiform	19 (63%)	0
Fatigue	19 (63%)	4 (13%)
Nausea	19 (63%)	2 (7%)
Vomiting	15 (50%)	2 (7%)
Dry skin	14 (47%)	0
Anemia	12 (40%)	3 (10%)
Decreased appetite	12 (40%)	2 (7%)
Abdominal pain	11 (37%)	1 (3%)
Increased CK	11 (37%)	3 (10%)
Dyspnea	10 (33%)	2 (7%)
Pyrexia	10 (33%)	0
Constipation	9 (30%)	0
Arthralgia	8 (27%)	0
Creatinine increased	8 (27%)	0
Skin fissures	8 (27%)	0
Vision blurred	8 (27%)	0
Increased AST	6 (20%)	3 (10%)
Asthenia	6 (20%)	0
Myalgia	6 (20%)	0
PPED syndrome	6 (20%)	0
Rash maculopapular	6 (20%)	0



Conclusions

- All efficacy outcomes (ORR, PFS and OS) for the ENCO + BINI + CETUX triplet showed substantial improvements over historical data and over updated results for the doublet of ENCO + CETUX in patients with BRAF^{V600E} mCRC¹⁻⁵
 - OS data are fully mature through 12.6 mo and median OS was not reached
 - Observed 1-year OS rate was 62%
 - Median PFS was 8.0 mo and ORR was 48%; 43% of responses lasted ≥6 mo
- The triplet was well tolerated with no unexpected toxicities
- The phase 3 portion of the BEACON CRC trial has been initiated and enrollment is ongoing

In BEACON CRC SLI, ENCO + BINI + CETUX triplet combination showed promising safety and efficacy data in patients with *BRAF*^{V600E} mCRC



3. Kopetz S, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35(15):Abstract 3505. 4. Mitani S, et al. *Ann Oncol.* 2017;28(5s). 5. Ulivi P, et al. J Transl Med. 2012;10:87.

